23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2			• • • •
3 4	In the Matter of)	2007 JUN 18 P 3:38
5	MUR 5838)	CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE
6	Friends of Stephen Harrison)	ENFORCEMENT PRICE TO SECTION TO
7 8)	
9		,	
10	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
11	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated		
12			
13	are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The		
14	Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated		
15	matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to		
16	dismiss these cases.		
17	The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 5838 as a low-rated matter. In this case,		
18	the complainant alleges that Friends of Stephen Harrison and Alice Harrison, as treasurer (the		
19	"Committee"), did not report an in-kind contribution or disbursement for office space		
20	provided by the candidate's law firm, and thus the complainant requests the Commission		
21	investigate whether the alleged contribution constituted an illegal corporate contribution.		
22	Additionally, the complainant contends that the Committee accepted contributions from two		

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION TO SHAT

The Committee responded that it did use office space of the candidate's law firm, but that space consisted of the candidate's personal office and the rent was paid from the

unregistered political committee for a state candidate, Friends of Ralph J. Perfetto ("FRP"),

state candidate committees that in turn had accepted corporate contributions. Also, the

complainant alleges that the Committee accepted an excessive contribution from an

which contributed over \$1,500 to the Committee in one calendar year.

Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 558 General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

candidate's personal funds. Furthermore, the law firm was not a corporation. The 1 2 Committee also indicated that while the two state candidate committees may have received 3 contributions from corporations, such contributions were permissible under state law and no 4 corporate funds were "funneled" to the Committee through the state committees. Regarding 5 the receipt of contributions from FRP, the Committee responded that FRP was registered 6 with the New York State Board of Elections and was advised by the Committee to "take any 7 actions necessary" to register with the Commission. 8 FRP also responded to the complaint and admitted to failing to register with the 9 Commission as a political committee after contributing more than \$1,000 to the Committee. 10 FRP further noted that it did not know if it had to register as a political committee with the 11 Commission at the time the contributions were made, but had made an inquiry with the New 12 York State Board of Elections' legal counsel before making the contributions and was 13 informed it was permissible to contribute to the Committee under certain conditions. 14 In light of the de minimis nature of the allegations presented in MUR 5838 and in 15

furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

16

17

18

1

Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 38 General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 3

RECOMMENDATION

2 The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 3 5838, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and approve the appropriate letters. Closing the case as of this date will allow CELA and General Law 4 5 and Advice the necessary time to prepare the closing letters and the case file for the public 6 record. 7 Thomasenia P. Duncan 8 General Counsel 9 10 11 12 BY: 13 Gregory R. Baker 14 Special Counsel 15 Complaints Examination & Legal Administration 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Jeff S. Jordan 23 Supervisory Attorney **Complaints Examination** 24 25 & Legal Administration 26 27 28 29 30 Cameron Thurber 31 Attorney 32 33 34

35 Attachment:

36

Narrative in MUR 5838

36

37 38

39 40

41 42

1 2 3 4 5 **MUR 5838** 6 7 Vincent Ignizio Complainant: 8 9 **Respondents:** Friends of Stephen Harrison and Alice Harrison, as treasurer 10 Stephen A. Harrison, Esq. 11 12 Friends of Ralph J. Perfetto 13 Allegations: The complainant alleges that Friends of Stephen Harrison and Alice 14 Harrison, as treasurer (the "Committee"), did not report an in-kind contribution or 15 disbursement for office space provided by the candidate's law firm. Additionally, the 16 complainant alleges the Committee accepted contributions from illegal sources, including 17 18 from two committees for state candidates that accepted corporate contributions and from 19 a third unregistered political committee for a state candidate, Friends of Ralph J. Perfetto ("FRP"), which allegedly contributed over \$1,500 to the Committee within one calendar 20 21 year. 22 Response: The Committee responded that while the Committee uses office space of the 23 24 candidate's law firm such space consists of the candidate's personal office and the rent is paid from the candidate's personal funds. Moreover, the law firm is not a corporation. 25 26 The Committee also noted that while the two state candidate committees may have 27 received contributions from corporations, such contributions were allowed under state 28 law and no corporate funds were "funneled" to the Committee through the state 29, committees. Regarding the receipt of contributions from FRP, the Committee responded 30 that FRP is registered with the New York State Board of Elections and was advised by the Committee to "take any actions necessary" to register with the Commission. 31 32 33 34 35

FRP responded and admitted to failing to register with the Commission as a political committee after contributing more than \$1,000 to the Committee. FRP indicated that it did not know if had to register as a political committee with the Commission, but had made an inquiry with the New York State Board of Elections' legal counsel before making the contributions and was informed it was permissible to contribute to the Committee under certain conditions.

Date complaint filed: October 10, 2006

Responses filed: November 3, 2006 and November 6, 2006